

## Editorial

# Impacts of land use change on climate

1 The US National Research Council (NRC, 2005) recommended the expansion of the climate change issue to include land use and land-cover processes as an important climate forcing. These processes have not been a major component of past Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reports. The NRC report states that beyond the change in mean atmospheric composition caused by increasing greenhouse gases, landscape variations may have important local, regional and potentially global climatic implications. In some cases, the climate response to land use and land-cover change may even exceed the contribution from increasing greenhouse gases. 'Improving societally relevant projections of regional climate impacts will require a better understanding of the magnitudes of regional forcings and the associated climate responses.' The International Geosphere Biosphere Programme (IGBP) and the Global Energy and Water Cycle Experiment (GEWEX) have also identified the importance of understanding the climate response to land use and land-cover change. As we move forward to the Fifth Assessment Report of the IPCC, there is growing impetus to address this aspect of anthropogenic impacts on the planet's environment. As a matter of fact, the CMIP5 suite of climate simulations that will be run for this report assessment (<http://cmip-pcmdi.llnl.gov/cmip5/>) now includes a new forcing dataset: the changes in land–surface areas used for agriculture, grazing activities, forestry, etc.

28  
29  
30 This special issue is inspired by a recent NSF-sponsored workshop titled 'Detecting the Atmospheric Response to the Changing Face of the Earth: A Focus on Human-Caused Regional Climate Forcings, Land-Cover/Land Use Change, and Data Monitoring' that was held in Boulder, Colorado, USA in August 2007. Workshop presentations are available online from the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Joint Office for Science Support (JOSS; [http://www.joss.ucar.edu/joss\\_psg/meetings/Meetings\\_2007/Detecting/Index.html](http://www.joss.ucar.edu/joss_psg/meetings/Meetings_2007/Detecting/Index.html)), and an overview of workshop conclusions is presented by Mahmood *et al.* (2010). Presenters from this workshop and other interested researchers have contributed articles for two special issues. In addition to this special issue of the *International Journal of Climatology*, there is also a special issue of *Boundary Layer Meteorology* (Niyogi *et al.*, 2009) focusing on the effects of land use and land-cover change on fluxes to the atmosphere, and subsequent impacts on weather and climate at the synoptic scales and the mesoscale.

49 This special issue introduces a number of the studies presented at the Boulder workshop. Most of the

studies examine regional impacts of land surface states on climate (Costa and Pires, 2010; Fall *et al.*, 2010a, 2010b; Ge, 2010; Kishtawal *et al.*, 2010; Mishra *et al.*, 2010; Moore *et al.*, 2010; Petchprayoon *et al.*, 2010; Sertel *et al.*, 2010; Takahashi *et al.*, 2010; Tokairin *et al.*, 2010; Xiao *et al.*, 2010). However, several studies take a global perspective of land-cover consequences (Anantharaj *et al.*, 2010; Kvalevåg *et al.*, 2010; Lawrence and Chase, 2010; Strengers *et al.*, 2010). Hibbard *et al.* (2010) sums up with a position paper on recommended future directions for research.

Both observational and modelling studies are presented in this study. The observational studies use *in situ* climate data (Petchprayoon *et al.*, 2010; Xiao *et al.*, 2010), satellite measurements (Ge, 2010; Kishtawal *et al.*, 2010) and data from regional reanalyses (Fall *et al.*, 2010a, 2010b). The modelling studies use regional atmospheric models (Moore *et al.*, 2010; Sertel *et al.*, 2010; Takahashi *et al.*, 2010; Tokairin *et al.*, 2010; Xiao *et al.*, 2010), global climate models (Anantharaj *et al.*, 2010; Costa and Pires, 2010; Kvalevåg *et al.*, 2010; Lawrence and Chase, 2010; Strengers *et al.*, 2010) and one uses a land surface model run offline (Mishra *et al.*, 2010).

Several studies focus on the impact of urbanization on climate change. Kishtawal *et al.* (2010) look at the evidence of urbanization on precipitation trends and the occurrence of extreme rainfall events over India. Petchprayoon *et al.* (2010) search for evidence that increased runoff and flooding in the Yom River Basin of Thailand is connected to changes in land use, particularly the spread of urban areas. Sertel *et al.* (2010) find evidence that inaccurate specification of land cover in the default configuration of the Weather Research and Forecast (WRF) model, and in particular, poor representation of the extent of urban areas, impairs the simulation of surface temperature as compared to station reports. Tokairin *et al.* (2010) use a mesoscale model to examine the effects of urbanization on circulation over the island of Java, Indonesia.

Other studies examine the consequences of regional vegetation change on climate. Costa and Pires (2010) model the precipitation response to future deforestation scenarios over South America, considering not only the tropical forests but also the cerrado to the south. Mishra *et al.* (2010) look at historic, current and future land use effects on surface fluxes over Wisconsin in offline simulations with the Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) land surface model driven by meteorological output from IPCC climate models. Ge (2010) examines the effect of agriculture, specifically the cultivation of winter wheat,

1 compared to native vegetation, on surface temperature  
2 over the Southern Great Plains of the United States. Wet  
3 and dry soil conditions in a high-resolution model of  
4 Southeast Asia are used by Takahashi *et al.* (2010) to  
5 investigate the effect of extreme land use change on wet  
6 season climate. Xiao *et al.* (2010) appraise whether the  
7 flooding caused by construction of the Three Gorges Dam  
8 can be linked to changes in rainfall in the vicinity of the  
9 resulting reservoir.

10 A number of studies take a global view of the impacts  
11 of land-cover change on climate that may be of great  
12 interest to the IPCC. Strengers *et al.* (2010) determine  
13 that anthropogenic changes to land cover have climate  
14 consequences that far outweigh the secondary feedbacks  
15 that vegetation responding to projected climate change  
16 will have on climate. Lawrence and Chase (2010) per-  
17 form a classic global simulation of climate with current  
18 vegetation *versus* potential (no anthropogenic land use  
19 changes) vegetation in the NCAR climate model. They  
20 find the impact of vegetation change on the surface hydro-  
21 logic cycle to outweigh radiative impacts (changes in  
22 albedo). Anantharaj *et al.* (2010) find in the same model  
23 significant errors in top-of-the-atmosphere and surface  
24 albedo. When surface albedo is corrected, the simula-  
25 tion of the atmospheric radiative budget is improved.  
26 Kvalevåg *et al.* (2010) use a climate model to separate the  
27 phenological component of land-cover change from the  
28 albedo changes, and find that albedo changes are keys  
29 in areas where cropland is the main land use change.  
30 However, changes in phenology are important contrib-  
31 utors to the warming signal in the model. Fall *et al.*  
32 (2010a) () corroborate this result examining the North  
33 American Regional Reanalysis (NARR), station temper-  
34 ature trends and time-varying satellite land-cover data.  
35 Moore *et al.* find that realistic vegetation parameters over  
36 East Africa improve simulations of temperature, and to  
37 a lesser extend precipitation, in a regional model. Fall  
38 *et al.* (2010b) use NARR data to compare variability and  
39 trends in equivalent temperature (including the impact of  
40 humidity) to conventional temperature, concluding that  
41 equivalent temperature trends include the signature of the  
42 underlying vegetation and capture more information than  
43 just temperature.

44 These studies provide a sampling of the complexity  
45 involved in resolving the role of land use and land-  
46 cover change in climate change. These studies show  
47 that there can be significant local effects to observed  
48 changes in land use, and that models can represent these  
49 changes. Unlike the record of warming from increased  
50 greenhouse gases, which is most robust at the global  
51 scale yet often tenuous locally, impacts from land use  
52 change have their strongest signatures at small scales.  
53 Even in a controlled modelling framework, uncertain-  
54 ties are large and many questions remain, as shown  
55 in some of the studies presented in this study as well  
56 as the early results from the project on Land Use  
57 and Climate IDentification of robust impacts (LUCID;  
58 Pitman *et al.*, 2009). The regional importance of land  
59 use induced land-cover changes on surface climate

raises the question of the validity of detection/attribution 60  
studies in certain areas where Land Use and Land- 61  
Cover Changes have been consequent since pre-industrial 62  
times. 63

64 These studies have shown that globally, land use does  
65 not introduce monotonic changes in either the surface  
66 energy or water balance, nor do all types of vegetation  
67 respond in the same manner to the thermal and radiative  
68 trends that are occurring. The broad vegetation categories  
69 used in global and regional climate models are often  
70 found to need adjustment or tuning at local scales,  
71 where we see the largest impacts. A more complete and  
72 comprehensive survey of vegetation phenology, radiative  
73 properties as well as soil, geomorphology and other  
74 properties relevant to surface hydrology and meteorology  
75 is required. Such a survey would greatly improve our  
76 ability to downscale climate change to useful regional  
77 and local projections, and to understand how land use  
78 changes alter local and regional climate. 79

80 We also see from the results presented in this issue  
81 that the observational network monitoring climate change  
82 is not sampling, in proportion to their occurrences, the  
83 direct responses or feedbacks from vegetation. In many  
84 cases, natural variability in climate is sufficiently large  
85 to mask the early signs of the consequences of land  
86 use change at the global scale. Waiting for unequivocal  
87 climatic evidence frustrates if not scuttles the prospects  
88 for mitigation. Thus, we turn to models to project the  
89 results of land use changes. But we see again that locally  
90 models could verify better than they do. Even with high-  
91 quality input data and boundary conditions, the models  
92 used for simulating both climate change and land-cover  
93 change impacts still have much room for improvement. 93

94 One way forward might be to focus the scientific  
95 community on a small number of pressing questions to  
96 which the panoply of observational and modelling poten-  
97 tial could be brought to bear. This would provide the  
98 impetus to improve both the stream of observational  
99 data and the performance of models as each is con-  
100 fronted with the current limitations and needs of the  
101 other. These questions are not new. What has been the  
102 contribution of land use change to the observed sur-  
103 face temperature record over the last century? How will  
104 the partitioning of precipitation between evapotranspi-  
105 ration and runoff be modified with land use change  
106 and how will this affect the climate? How, in turn,  
107 is climate affected by modifications to the partitioning  
108 of precipitation between evapotranspiration and runoff  
109 caused by land use change? Are current land use changes  
110 exacerbating or ameliorating climate changes from other  
111 causes? 112

113 Issues of land use and land-cover change in the cli-  
114 mate context open what was one strictly a physical  
115 science to escalating complexity as many other disci-  
116 plines are brought into play. As stated by Hibbard *et al.*,  
117 'Process understanding, both from the socioeconomic  
118 as well as the natural science's side, will be important 118

1 considerations.' A concerted multidisciplinary effort is  
 2 needed to address the issue properly.

3  
 4 PAUL A. DIRMEYER,<sup>a</sup> DEV NIYOGI,<sup>b</sup>  
 5 NATHALIE DE NOBLET-DUCOUDRÉ,<sup>c</sup>  
 6 ROBERT E. DICKINSON<sup>d</sup> AND  
 7 PETER K. SNYDER<sup>e</sup>

8 <sup>a</sup> Center for Ocean-Land-Atmosphere Studies,  
 9 Calverton, MD, USA

10 <sup>b</sup> Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

11 <sup>c</sup> Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de  
 12 l'Environnement, Unité mixte CEA-CNRS-UVSQ,  
 13 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

14 <sup>d</sup> University of Texas, Austin, TX, USA

15 <sup>e</sup> University of Minnesota, St Paul, MN, USA

16  
 17 **References**

18 Anantharaj V, Nair U, Lawrence P, Chase T, Christopher S, Jones T.  
 19 2010. Comparison of satellite-derived TOA shortwave fluxes  
 20 to estimates from GCM simulations constrained by satellite  
 21 observations of land surface characteristics. *International Journal*  
 22 *of Climatology* **30**: this issue.  
 23 Costa MH, Pires GF. 2010. Effects of Amazon and Central Brazil  
 24 deforestation scenarios on the duration of the dry season in the arc  
 25 of deforestation. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.  
 26 Fall S, Niyogi D, Pielke R, Gluhovsky A, Kalnay E, Rochon G.  
 27 2010a. Impacts of land use land cover on temperature trends over  
 28 the continental United States: assessment using the North American  
 29 Regional Reanalysis. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this  
 30 issue.  
 31 Fall S, Diffenbaugh N, Niyogi D, Peilke Sr R, Rochon G. 2010b.  
 32 Temperature and equivalent temperature over the United States  
 33 (1979–2005). *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.  
 34 Ge J. 2010. MODIS observed impacts of intensive agriculture on  
 35 surface temperature in the southern Great Plains. *International*  
 36 *Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.  
 37 Hibbard K, Janetos A, van Vuuren DP, Pongratz J, Rose SK, Betts R,  
 38 Herold M, Feddema JJ. 2010. Research priorities in land use and  
 39 land cover change for the earth system and integrated assessment  
 40 modeling. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.  
 41 Kishitawal CM, Niyogi D, Tewari M, Pielke RA Sr, Shepherd JM.  
 42 2010. Urbanization signature in the observed heavy rainfall  
 43 climatology over India. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this  
 44 issue.  
 45 Kvalevåg M, Myhre G, Bonan G, Levis S. 2010. Anthropogenic land  
 46 cover changes in a GCM with surface albedo changes based on  
 47 MODIS data. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.  
 48 Lawrence PJ, Chase TN. 2010. Investigating the climate impacts of  
 49 global land cover change in the Community Climate System Model  
 50 (CCSM). *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.  
 51 Mahmood R, Pielke RA Sr, Hubbard KG, Niyogi D, Bonan G,  
 Lawrence P, Baker B, McNider R, McAlpine C, Etter A, Gameda S,

52 Qian B, Carleton A, Beltrán-Przekurat A, Chase T, Quintanar AI,  
 53 Adegoke JO, Vezhapparambu S, Conner G, Asefi S, Sertel E,  
 54 Legates DR, Wu Y, Hale R, Frauenfeld OW, Watts A, Shepherd M,  
 55 Mitra C, Anantharaj VG, Fall S, Lund R, Nordfelt A, Blanken P,  
 56 Du J, Chang H-I, Leeper R, Nair US, Dobler S, Deo R, Syktus J Sr  
 57 2010. Impacts of land use land cover change on climate and future  
 58 research priorities. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*  
 59 **91**: 37–46, DOI: 10.1175/2009BAMS2769.1.  
 60 Mishra V, Cherkauer KA, Niyogi D, Lei M, Pijanowski BC, Ray DK,  
 61 Bowling LC, Yang G. 2010. A regional scale assessment of land  
 62 use/land cover and climatic changes on water and energy cycle in the  
 63 upper Midwest United States. *International Journal of Climatology*  
 64 **30**: this issue.  
 65 Moore N, Torbick N, Lofgren B, Wang J, Pijanowski B, Andresen J,  
 66 Kim D-Y, Olson J. 2010. Adapting MODIS-derived LAI and  
 67 fractional cover into the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System  
 68 (RAMS) in East Africa. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**:  
 69 this issue.  
 70 National Research Council. 2005. *Radiative Forcing of Climate*  
 71 *Change: Expanding the Concept and Addressing Uncertainties*. The  
 72 National Academies Press: Washington, DC; 208 pp.  
 73 Niyogi D, Mahmood R, Adegoke JO. 2009. Land-use/land-cover  
 74 change and its impacts on weather and climate. *Boundary-Layer*  
 75 *Meteorology* **133**: 297–298, DOI: 10.1007/s10546-009-9437-8.  
 76 Petchprayoon P, Blanken PD, Ekkawatpanit C. 2010. Hydrological  
 77 impacts of land use/land cover change in a large river basin in  
 78 central northern Thailand. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**:  
 79 this issue.  
 80 Pitman AJ, de Noblet-Ducoudré N, Cruz FT, Davin EL, Bonan GB,  
 81 Brovkin V, Claussen M, Delire C, Ganzeveld G, Gayler V, van den  
 82 Hurk BJM, Lawrence PJ, van der Molen MK, Müller C, Reick CH,  
 83 Seneviratne SI, Strengers BJ, Voldoire A. 2009. Uncertainties in  
 84 climate responses to past land cover change: First results from the  
 85 LUCID intercomparison study. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **36**: • L14814,  
 86 DOI: 10.1029/2009GL039076.  
 87 Sertel E, Robock A, Ormeci C. 2010. Impacts of land cover changes on  
 88 the regional climate of the Marmara region of Turkey. *International*  
 89 *Journal of Climatology* **30**: this issue.  
 90 Strengers B, Müller C, Schaeffer M, Haarsma R, Severijns C,  
 91 Gerten D, Schaphoff S, van den Houdt R, Oostenrijk R. 2010.  
 92 Assessing 20th century climate-vegetation feedbacks of land-  
 93 use change and natural vegetation dynamics in a fully coupled  
 94 vegetation-climate model. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**:  
 95 this issue.  
 96 Takahashi HG, Yoshikane T, Hara M, Takata K, Yasunari T. 2010.  
 97 High-resolution modelling of the potential impact of land-surface  
 98 conditions on regional climate over Indochina associated with the  
 99 diurnal precipitation cycle. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**:  
 100 this issue.  
 101 Tokairin T, Sofyan A, Kitada T. 2010. Effect of land use change on  
 102 local meteorology in Jakarta, Indonesia – toward the evaluation of  
 thermal environment of mega cities in Asia. *International Journal of*  
*Climatology* **30**: this issue.  
 Xiao C, Yu R, Fu Y. 2010. Precipitation characteristics in the Three  
 Gorges Dam vicinity. *International Journal of Climatology* **30**: this  
 issue.

7  
 8  
 AQ2

76  
 77  
 AQ3