



## Aerosol light scattering effect on terrestrial plant productivity and energy fluxes over the eastern United States

Toshihisa Matsui,<sup>1,2</sup> Adriana Beltrán-Przekurat,<sup>3</sup> Dev Niyogi,<sup>4</sup> Roger A. Pielke Sr.,<sup>3</sup> and Michael Coughenour<sup>5</sup>

Received 29 November 2007; revised 21 April 2008; accepted 11 June 2008; published 22 July 2008.

[1] This study reports the first regional-scale assessment of aerosol effects on plant productivity and surface energy fluxes over the eastern United States. Analysis is conducted using an established modeling framework, which is composed of a regional land surface model, regional daily aerosol optical depth (AOD) estimates, and meteorological forcings. The sensitivity experiments were conducted from May to September in 2000 and 2001 over the eastern United States with and without the aerosol light scattering effect. Results show that the aerosol light scattering effect results in enhanced productivity for high-LAI and optimum temperature environments under cloudless-sky conditions around noon, while it results in least productive for low-LAI, low-temperature environments under cloud-sky conditions in early morning or late afternoon. As a result, domain-averaged plant productivities, measured as net primary production, are changed by  $-0.71 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$  ( $-0.09\%$ ) in 2000 and  $+5.00 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$  ( $+0.5\%$ ) in 2001. These responses of plant productivity and photosynthesis to the aerosol light scattering effect uniquely modulate the surface flux as follows. The aerosol light scattering effect reduces the surface downwelling solar radiation ( $14.9 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  in 2000 and  $16.0 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  in 2001) and net radiation in vegetation canopy, but simultaneously increases the photosynthesis and stomatal conductance. Consequently, surface latent heat flux (transpiration and evaporation) is reduced by a small amount particularly over the forests, while aerosol loading often results in larger reduction in the sensible heat flux. For the whole domain, latent heat flux is changed by  $-3.10 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  ( $-2.1\%$ ) in 2000 and  $-3.12 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  ( $-2.1\%$ ) in 2001, sensible heat flux is changed by  $-7.57 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  ( $-12.9\%$ ) in 2000 and  $-8.36 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  ( $-11.3\%$ ) in 2001, and surface skin temperature is changed by  $-0.25 \text{ K}$  ( $-0.1\%$ ) in 2000 and  $-0.27 \text{ K}$  ( $-0.1\%$ ) in 2001.

**Citation:** Matsui, T., A. Beltrán-Przekurat, D. Niyogi, R. A. Pielke Sr., and M. Coughenour (2008), Aerosol light scattering effect on terrestrial plant productivity and energy fluxes over the eastern United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *113*, D14S14, doi:10.1029/2007JD009658.

### 1. Introduction

[2] Diffuse solar radiation is suggested to be more advantageous for plant productivity than direct radiation [e.g., Goudriaan, 1977; Gu *et al.*, 2002; Law *et al.*, 2002; Niyogi *et al.*, 2004; Rocha *et al.*, 2004; Min, 2005]. This is because diffuse solar radiation is absorbed on the plant canopy more homogeneously than direct radiation, and is efficiently

utilized in the photosynthesis process without exceeding the plant photosynthesis capacity. Direct solar radiation is absorbed by the sunlit canopy and usually exceeds the plant photosynthesis capacity when solar elevation is high [Goudriaan, 1977; Gu *et al.*, 2002].

[3] Recently the impact of aerosol light scattering on terrestrial plant productivity and carbon sink has been addressed [Roderick *et al.*, 2001; Cohan *et al.*, 2002; Gu *et al.*, 2003; Niyogi *et al.*, 2004; Chang, 2004; Misson *et al.*, 2005; Kanniah *et al.*, 2006; Oliveira *et al.*, 2007]. Following the massive eruption of Mt. Pinatubo in 1991, abnormal carbon sinks were observed on the global scale the following year. Roderick *et al.* [2001] and Gu *et al.* [2003] proposed that the volcano eruption-derived sulphate aerosols in the upper troposphere enhanced solar radiation scattering that ultimately increased the terrestrial carbon sink by increasing plant productivity.

[4] Niyogi *et al.* [2004] and Chang [2004] provided the first observational evidence of links between variability of routine aerosol optical depths (AODs), diffuse solar

<sup>1</sup>Goddard Earth Sciences and Technology Center, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

<sup>2</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Agronomy and Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA.

<sup>5</sup>Natural Resource and Environmental Laboratory, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.